

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DIPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office States AMMERCE (1987) And States States and Trademark Office States and The States and Trademark Office States and Trad

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A STORNEY DOCKET NO	CONTRMACION NO
09 760,364	01/12/2001	Jurgen M. Lehmann	018781000411	1585
20350 75	590 (2.02.2002)			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAMINI R	
			MURPHY, JOSEPH F	
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBUR
			1646	110
			DATE MAILED: 12 02 2002	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_··.	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/760,364 LEHMANN ET AL.	
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		Joseph F Murphy	1646
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) FROM
THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n earne	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).	36(a) In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status	Personaliza to communication(s) filed on 16.5	Contambor 2002	
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-32 and 42-59</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from consid	leration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 and 33-41 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
	on Papers		
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)[1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep		
44\	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		uisapproved by the Examiner.
12\\ 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	-	
· ·	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armilor.	
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C	\$ 119(a) (d) or (f)
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.C.	g (19(a)-(a) or (i).
۵/۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur	ity documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list on cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
_a)	The translation of the foreign language production. The translation of the foreign language productions are the second to the se	visional application has b	peen received.
Attachment		c priority under 35 0.5.C	. 33 120 alloror 121.
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u> .	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/760,364

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-9, 33-41 in Paper No. 13, 9/16/2002 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden to search. Applicant's attention is directed to MPEP 808.02 which states that "Where the related inventions as claimed are shown to be distinct under the criteria of MPEP 806.05 (c-i), the examiner, in order to establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: (A) Separate classification thereof; (B) A separate status in the art when they are classifiable together; (C) A different field of search." The separate classification established for each Group demonstrates that each distinct Group has attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, and also a separate field of search. Thus, the Restriction requirement is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Claims 10-32, 42-59 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9, 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-9, 33-40 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of the terms "CAR". There is no definition within the claim to define the protein to which this acronym refers. The term CAR

Application/Control Number: 09/760,364

Art Unit: 1646

is also used in the art to refer to a cancer-associated retinopathy antigen (see U.S. Patent No. 5,753,522) Thus, the metes and bounds of these claims cannot be determined

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3-9, 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent No. 5,710,017 (Moore et al.) in view of Sueyoshi et al. (1999).

The '017 patent discloses the cloning and expression of a CAR receptor (column 1, lines 55-65). The invention features methods of identifying a CAR ligand in a host cell which is functionally deficient for CAR receptor (column 2 lines 39-50). The '017 patent further discloses CAR receptor polypeptides may also find therapeutic use in the treatment of Graves disease. Accordingly, dominant negative CAR mutants which heterodimerize with RXR protein

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/760,364

Art Unit: 1646

(including overexpressed wild-type CAR receptor protein) may act to decrease the cellular levels of available RXR and thereby decrease thyroid hormone receptor function. Ligands which increase heterodimerization efficiency could also be administered as a treatment for Graves' disease (column 14, lines 14-24).

The '017 patent does not teach determining whether the level of a cholesterol indicator is modulated in the test mammal. Sueyoshi et al. teaches that the endogenous CYP2B6 gene becomes phenobarbital (PB) inducible in androstenol-treated HepG2 cells either transiently or stably transfected with a nuclear receptor CAR expression vector. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art identify ligands which can modulate a CAR-mediated intermolecular interaction by measuring a cholesterol indicator. The motivation is provided in the '017 patent which discloses that the methods of the invention may be used to reduce the disorders described in any mammal, for example, humans, domestic pets, or livestock (column 14, lines 30-40).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Murphy whose telephone number is 703-305-7245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646

November 26, 2002